

Criteria for making social scientists visible

escrito por Elisabeth Torras | agosto 2, 2024



[Spanish version](#)

We are now beginning to do what we were not allowed to do until recently: make visible the women who have been invisibilized despite having made contributions to the social sciences that are better than those from their male counterparts. The better we do this, the more we will achieve that essential visibility for the advancement of the social sciences and society in all its dimensions, not just in terms of gender. A danger is that this process could lead to populism due to the voices and pressures of

those who prioritize getting themselves or their allies on the list, resulting in an endless list that loses all its impact. We cannot place women who have risked everything to defend victims at the same level as women who have defended pedophilia in their writings.

It is very clear that every map is a simplification that loses many important details. However, if we tried to include those details in the map, it would be useless because it would be as large as the reality it aims to represent. This is what could happen to us with populism, rendering our efforts useless in the end. Therefore, it is essential to agree on the criteria as much as possible; and this is what we are going to do for the Catalan Sociological Association for the 2025 calendar, after having translated this year the excellent calendar made by our colleagues at the University of Granada, who have been the pioneers.

Although it is still a draft for discussion, we present the current proposed criteria and, of course, we will gladly welcome your suggestions.

Aspect to score	Max grade	Criteria
Google Scholar citations	0 to 20 points	1 point for every 200 GS citations, up to a maximum of 20 points
Web of Sciences citations	0 to 20 points	1 point for every 50 WoS citations, up to a maximum of 20 points
Social Impact	0 to 20 points	<p>(1) There is published scientific evidence of the improvements the public achieved in relation to the SDGs as a result of the sociologist's contributions.</p> <p>(2) There is no scientific evidence, but there are non-scientific publications and testimonials of the improvements the public achieved in relation to the SDGs as a result of the sociologist's contributions.</p> <p>(* If evidence is described in (1), the score can reach up to 20 points; if there is only evidence as described in (2), the score is a maximum of 10 points.</p>
Stance regarding victims of gender-based violence and associated gender violence	0 to 20 points	<p>(1) Always publicly taking a stance in favour of victims, including victims of Gender Violence and Isolating Gender Violence. Maximum 20 points.</p> <p>(2) There is no active support for specific victims but a public stance favouring victims in general. The maximum score is 10 points.</p> <p>(3) Taking a stance against victims or in favour of their abusers. 0 points.</p>